



Doubly Fed Induction Generator, With Crow-Bar System, under Micro-Interruptions Fault

M. Ali Dami, K. Jemli, M. Jemli, M. Gossa

Unité de recherche en commande, surveillance et sûreté de fonctionnement des systèmes
« C3S ». Ecole Supérieure des Sciences et Techniques de Tunis (ESSTT). 5 Avenue Taha
Hussein –BP 56, Bab Mnara 1008 Tunis – Fax : (216) 71 391 166.
Kamel.jemli@yahoo.fr, kamel.jemli@isetzg.rnu.tn

Abstract: The work presented in this paper focuses on studying the application of doubly fed induction generators in wind energy production under micro-interruption fault. In this context, the presented work set out to improve the performance of a wind turbine either from an energetic efficiency, or from the arrangement with behavioral of grid disturbances. To reach the maximum wind power extraction, wind turbine has to reduce their disconnection. For this reason grid operators impose, by their grid connection requirements, to wind turbine producer to support some grid disturbance. This paper deals with the behavior of wind turbine equipped with a Doubly Fed Induction Generator (DFIG) under micro-interruption. A scheme tolerant micro-interruption, by using a crow bar system, is proposed. A control strategy of the Unified Power Flow Control (UPFC) using PI controller is presented. And finally fuzzy logic controller is illustrated and compared to PI controller.

Keywords: wind energy, Doubly Fed Induction Generator, micro-interruption, fault, crowbar, fuzzy logic controller.

1. Introduction

The increasing integration of wind energy in the production of electrical energy restructures the way that wind farm is operated. In Denmark an annual average of 15% of the total power is developed by wind farms. During certain periods of high wind and low consumption the main part of electrical energy is developed by wind farm. The increasing number of wind farms causes that more other countries can face a similar situation in the next few times. However the increase on part of wind energy compared to the capacity of the electrical grid can cause problems for the operators such as the variation of the tension, the imbalance and the instability of the network.[1] [2]

The disconnection of a wind turbine causes important losses in possible electrical energy. To reach the objective of reducing the rate of disconnection the operators on the electrical grid impose, in their wind grid connection requirements, a variety of tolerance to the network disturbances such as the micro-interruptions, voltage dips and frequency variation. For that, wind farms are called to support some grid disturbances.

The behavior of wind turbine under grid disturbances depend on type of generator (induction generator or synchronous generator), and scheme of connection to the electric grid. In this work, a wind turbine using the Doubly Fed Induction Generator (DFIG) is studied. This paper deals with the micro-interruptions faults. Thus it is necessary to study the behavior of DFIG under micro-interruptions faults, present a solution allowing to the DFIG to support micro-interruption and design fuzzy logic controllers to improve the performance of DFIG under such category of fault.

2. Studied System

The studied system is composed by a wound rotor induction generator connected to the electric grid within rotor and stator. The stator is directly connected to the grid and the rotor is

connected to the grid by the unified power flow control (UPFC). Such system has the capacity to deliver the electric power with voltage and frequency constants for a variation of the speed of ± 20 to 40% around the synchronous speed. [3]

Figure 1 shows the schematic configuration of the DFIG. The UPFC is a power electronics device composed by two converters C_{rot} and C_{grid} . Coordinated control of the UPFC is proposed in section III.




Figure 1. Configuration of a Doubly Fed Induction Generator (DFIG).

For this study it is assumed that the DFIG is subjected to a grid micro-interruption fault. Behaviors of DFIG wind turbine under micro-interruption fault are studied in section IV. Scheme tolerant such fault is provided in section V and finally fuzzy logic controllers are illustrated in section VI.

A. Wind Turbine

A simplified aerodynamic model is normally used when the electrical behavior of the wind turbine is the main interest of the study.




Figure 2. Schematic of a wind turbine

The relation between the wind speed and mechanic power, delivered by the wind turbine, can be described by the following equation:

$$P_m = \frac{1}{2} \pi \cdot \rho \cdot R^2 \cdot v^3 \cdot C_p(\lambda, \beta) \quad (1)$$

Where:

ρ : Specific mass of the air in Kg/m².

v : wind speed (m/s).

R : Radius of turbine (m).

C_p : Power coefficient.




Figure 3. Turbine characteristics

Wind turbine model have two control schemes: speed control and pitch control. The speed control can be realized by adjusting the generator power or torque. The pitch control is a common control method to regulate the mechanic power from the turbine. [1]

B. Induction Generator

Using the electric equations of the wound rotor induction machine given by the following equation system [2]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_{ds} \\ v_{qs} \\ v_{dr} \\ v_{qr} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_s + Ls & -\omega_{dq} Ls & Lnp & -\omega_{dq} Lm \\ \omega_{dq} Ls & R_s + Ls & \omega_{dq} Lm & Lnp \\ Lnp & -Lm(\omega_{dq} - \omega) & R_r + Lr & -Lr(\omega_{dq} - \omega) \\ Ln(\omega_{dq} - \omega) & Lnp & Lr(\omega_{dq} - \omega) & R_r + Lr \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_{ds} \\ i_{qs} \\ i_{dr} \\ i_{qr} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

The mechanical equation of the generator is:

$$J \frac{d\omega_r}{dt} = np(T_m - T_e) \quad (3)$$

The electromagnetic torque is:

$$T_m = n_p L_m (i_{dr} i_{qs} - i_{qr} i_{ds}) \quad (4)$$

With:

T_m : Mechanical torque

T_e : Electromagnetic Torque

n_p : number of poles pairs

J : Effective inertia of the revolving part

C. The UPFC




Figure 4. Unified Power Flow Controllers (UPFC)

$$P_m = T_m \Omega_r \quad (5)$$

$$P_s = T_e \Omega_s \quad (6)$$

In steady state and at fixed speed;

$$T_m = T_e \text{ and } P_m = P_s + P_r \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_r &= P_m - P_s = T_m \cdot \Omega_r - T_e \cdot \Omega_s \\ &= - T_m (\Omega_r - \Omega_s) = - s \cdot P_s \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$C \cdot V_{DC} \cdot \frac{dV_{DC}}{dt} = P_{Crot} - P_{Cgrid} \quad (9)$$

$$i_C = C \cdot \frac{dV_{DC}}{dt} = i_{Crot} - i_{Cgrid} \quad (10)$$

With: $P_{Crot} = s \cdot P_s$

3. Control Algorithm

In this part, it is necessary to establish the control strategy of the two converters C_{rot} and C_{grid} (respectively converter side rotor and grid side converter) as well as the angle of orientation of pale (pitch angle). The two converters (C_{grid} and C_{rot}) can control the active power of the turbine, the voltage of the continuous bus and the reactive power.

A. Control of the side rotor converter *Crot*

We choose a synchronously rotating reference frame so that the d-axis thus coincides with the desired direction of stator flux; hence, the flux expression will be:

$$\psi_{ds} = \psi_s = L_s i_{ds} + L_m i_{dr} \quad (11)$$

$$\psi_{qs} = L_s \cdot i_{qs} + L_m \cdot i_{qr} = 0 \quad (12)$$

The electromagnetic Torque will be reduced to:

$$T_e = n_p \frac{L_m}{L_s} (\psi_{ds} i_{qr}) \quad (13)$$

By neglecting resistances of the stator phases the stator voltage will be expressed by:

$$v_s \approx \frac{d\psi_s}{dt} \quad (14)$$

We can also deduce

$$v_{ds} = 0$$

And

$$v_{qs} = v_s = \omega_s \psi_{ds}$$

The equations [13] and [14] give these next expressions of the “d” and “q” stator currents:

$$i_{ds} = \frac{\psi_{ds}}{L_s} - \frac{L_m}{L_s} i_{dr} \quad (15)$$

$$i_{qs} = -\frac{L_m}{L_s} i_{qr} \quad (16)$$

We lead to an uncoupled power control; where, the transversal component i_{qr} of the rotor current controls the active power. The reactive power is imposed by the direct component i_{dr} .

$$P = -v_s \frac{L_m}{L_s} i_{qr} \quad (17)$$

$$Q = \frac{v_s \psi_{ds}}{L_s} - \frac{v_s L_m}{L_s} i_{dr} \quad (18)$$

The arrangement of the equations gives the expressions of flux and the voltages according to the rotor currents:

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{dr} = \left(L_r - \frac{L_m^2}{L_s} \right) i_{dr} + \frac{L_m v_s}{\omega_s L_s} \\ \psi_{qr} = \left(L_r - \frac{L_m^2}{L_s} \right) i_{qr} \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{cases} v_{dr} = R_r i_{dr} + \left(L_r - \frac{L_m^2}{L_s} \right) \frac{di_{dr}}{dt} - g \omega_s \left(L_r - \frac{L_m^2}{L_s} \right) i_{qr} \\ v_{qr} = R_r i_{qr} + \left(L_r - \frac{L_m^2}{L_s} \right) \frac{di_{qr}}{dt} - g \omega_s \left(L_r - \frac{L_m^2}{L_s} \right) i_{dr} + g \omega_s \frac{L_m^2 v_s}{\omega_s L_s} \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

Note by:

$$\sigma = 1 - \frac{L_m^2}{L_s \cdot L_r}$$

$$e1 = -g \cdot \omega_s \cdot \sigma \cdot L_r \cdot i_{qr}$$

$$e2 = g \cdot L_m^2 v_s / L_s - g \cdot \omega_s \cdot \sigma \cdot L_r \cdot i_{dr}$$

ω_0 and ζ denote natural frequency and damping ratio, respectively.




Figure 5. Rotor current regulator diagram block

The PI constants (K_{iir} , K_{pir}) are obtained by using identification of the closed loop transfer function which is a second order transfer function. The optimal response is obtained for $\xi = 0,7 \Rightarrow \omega_0 \cdot t_r = 3$. By choosing a system response time (t_r), K_{iir} and K_{pir} (PI regulator coefficients) can be deduced.

$$\omega_0 = 3/t_r \quad [2]$$

$$K_{iir} = \frac{\omega_0^2 \cdot \tau}{K} \quad (21)$$

$$K_{pir} = \frac{\xi \cdot 2 \omega_0 \tau - 1}{K} \quad (22)$$

B. Control of the grid side converter C_{Grid}

$$i_C = C \cdot \frac{dV_{DC}}{dt} = i_{CRot} - i_{CGrid} \quad (23)$$

$$C \cdot V_{DC} \cdot \frac{dV_{DC}}{dt} = P_{CRot} - P_{CGrid} \quad (24)$$

$$\text{With: } P_{CRot} = g \cdot P_s \quad (25)$$




Figure 6. Continuous bus voltage Regulation block diagram

Where K_{pDC} and K_{iDC} denote proportional and integral gains of the, continuous bus, (PI) controller.

So the regulator parameter can be deduced:

$$K_{iDC} = \omega_0^2 \cdot C$$

$$K_{pDC} = 2\omega_0 \cdot \xi \cdot C$$

Where ω_0 and ξ denote natural frequency and damping ratio, respectively.




Figure 7. Cgrid Converter current Regulation block diagram

Then the PI regulator coefficient ($K_{piCgrid}$, $K_{iiCgrid}$) can be found :

$$K_{piCgrid} = 2 \cdot L_f \cdot \xi \cdot \omega_0 - R_f \quad (26)$$

$$K_{iiCgrid} = L_f \cdot \omega_0^2 \quad (27)$$

Where:

- V_{DC} : voltage of the continuous Bus
- I_{Cgrid} : Current delivered by the grid side converter
- V_{CGrid} : Voltage of the grid side converter
- V_{grid} : Stator voltage (Grid voltage)
- L_f : Smoothing bobbin self inductance
- R_f : Smoothing bobbin resistance
- ω_s : Stator pulsation
- *: reference value

4. DFIG Wind Turbine under Micro-Interruption

In this section behaviors of DFIG wind turbine under micro-interruption fault are studied. The micro-interruption is a disconnection of the electric grid for a short moment. For the case of the generating operation of an asynchronous machine the electric grid interruption makes the system similar to a standalone induction generator. In this case the amplitude and the frequency of the generator will not be assisted any more by the electric grid. That makes the generators terminal voltage values depending on magnetizing and speed of the generator. On this fact the influence of a micro-interruption on a wind turbine depends on the used structure of generator (DFIG or asynchronous generator directly connected to the grid).

Using the equivalent circuit, in transitory mode, of the induction machine given by the figure 8 the electric equations can be established.




Figure 8: Schéma équivalent de la machine asynchrone selon l'axe "d" et l'axe "q"

$$V_{ds} = R_s i_{ds} + \frac{d\phi_{ds}}{dt} - \omega \phi_{qs} \tag{28}$$

$$V_{qs} = R_s i_{qs} + \frac{d\phi_{qs}}{dt} + \omega \phi_{ds} \tag{29}$$

$$V_{dr}' = R_r' i_{dr}' + \frac{d\phi_{dr}'}{dt} - (\omega - \omega_r) \phi_{qr}' \tag{30}$$

$$V_{qr}' = R_r' i_{qr}' + \frac{d\phi_{qr}'}{dt} - (\omega - \omega_r) \phi_{dr}' \quad (31)$$

$$T_e = n_p \frac{M}{L_r} (\phi_{dr}' i_{qs}' - \phi_{qr}' i_{ds}') = n_p (\phi_{ds}' i_{qs}' - \phi_{qs}' i_{ds}') \quad (32)$$

Under micro-interruption between the network and the wind turbine the amount of power delivered to the electric grid will be forwarded towards the rotor. This amplifies excessively the rotor current and consequently increases the stator tensions see equation (28) and (29).

For the active and reactive powers, during a sudden increase in the rotor current caused by a micro-interruption, a peak with a positive value appears in the active power curve and a peak with negative value appears in the reactive power curve. This is confirmed by the following expressions of the active and reactive power:

$$P_s = \frac{3}{2} \omega_s \frac{M^2}{L_s} I_m \cdot I_{rq} \quad (33)$$

$$Q_s = \frac{3}{2} \omega_s \frac{M^2}{L_s} I_m (I_m - I_{rd}) \quad (34)$$




Figure 9: a- Grid voltage, b- Generator speed, c- Active power, d- reactive power, e- DC link voltage, f- Rotor active power Time of micro-interruption appearance: $t=3s$

Figure 9 shows that under micro-interruption wind turbine based on DFIG diverges. Note that the UPFC is dimensioned to support only 30% of the rated power. Then this device, under micro-interruption of the electric grid, will be damaged. So it is recommended to protect these converters. Some uses the Crow-Bar as a method of protection. It makes possible to short-circuit the rotor and to insulate the converters. [4] The diagram of a wind turbine based on DFIG using a crow-bar is given by the figure 10.




Figure 10. Diagram of A Wind Turbine Based On DFIG Using A Crow-Bar System.

The proposed solution permits the protection of the power electronics device. But it is limited since it does not allow the system to recover in energy production after departure of the micro-interruption. In fact with the appearance of a micro-interruption the system is disconnected from the electric grid and it is necessary for him a new starting launch. That causes a production loss for the duration between the disappearance of the micro-interruption and the re-establishment of the system in energy production.

5. Scheme Tolerant Micro-Interruption Fault

In this section, a method allowing a wind turbine, based on a DFIG, to tolerate the micro-interruptions will be presented. This method is based on the use of a crow-bar inserted between the wind turbine and the electric grid as presented in the figure 11. To improve the performance of this system an evaluation of the behavior of the system, under micro-interruption, using two types of regulators (PI regulator and Fuzzy Logic regulator) is presented.




Figure 11. Doubly Fed Induction Generator (DFIG) with a crowbar system.

In safety condition the crow-bar is disconnected. When a micro-interruption appears the crow-bar is immediately activated in order to absorb the power delivered by the generator. A dimensioning of crow-bar power is necessary.

Obtained results:




Figure 12 Results obtained with a PI regulator a- Grid voltage, b- Generator speed, c- Active power, d- reactive power, e- DC link voltage, f- Rotor active power

At the onset of a micro-interruption between the network and the wind turbine, the crow-bar connects to the generator terminals in order to absorb the provided energy. The system becomes similar to an isolated wind turbine using a DFIG. When the micro-interruption disappears and the system connects to the network again, a transient appears. This is due to the potential difference. This phenomenon is reflected by peaks on the characteristic of the system.

6. Fuzzy Logic Regulation

Previously fuzzy logic has not been used much in wind turbine control. One of the main reasons for this is that most of the wind turbine control tasks have been in the small signal range, where linear PI and PID controllers perform well. [5]

For transient mode, the case of micro-interruption and voltage dip, the system becomes difficult to describe mathematically. This is the prime area for application of fuzzy logic control.

A. Regulator conception

The structure of the current fuzzy logic controller is given by the figure 13:




Figure 13. Diagram of fuzzy logic controller.

As the membership functions are normalized between $[-1, 1]$, the variables are multiplied with the proportional gains.

Figure 14 illustrates membership functions of the input variables.

Note By:

- NB: Negative Big
- PB: Positive Big
- NM: Negative Medium
- PM: Positive Medium
- NS: Negative Small
- PS: Positive Small
- ZE: Zero




Figure 14. Inputs membership functions

We note that the membership functions of error have an asymmetric shape creating a concentration around zero, which improves the accuracy near the nominal operating point. Output membership functions are shown by the figure 15.




Figure 15. Output membership functions.

For the same reason, the shapes of membership functions of output are asymmetric. However, it is necessary to introduce an additional subset given the sensitivity of this variable. With:

NVB: Negative Very Big

PVB: Positive Very Big

The following table illustrates the rules giving dV_r according to the states of the error “e” and the variation of the error “de”.

Table 1. Base Of Rules Relative To The Current Fuzzy Logic Regulator

| | | e | | | | | | |
|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | NB | NM | NS | ZE | PS | PM | PB |
| de | NB | NVB | NVB | NVB | NB | NM | NS | ZE |
| | NM | NVB | NVB | NB | NM | NS | ZE | PS |
| | NS | NVB | NB | NM | NS | ZE | PS | PM |
| | ZE | NB | NM | NS | ZE | PS | PM | PB |
| | PS | NM | NS | ZE | PS | PM | PB | PVB |
| | PM | NS | ZE | PS | PM | PB | PVB | PVB |
| | PB | ZE | PS | PM | PB | PVB | PVB | PVB |

A synthesis based on the heuristics allows the determination of the gains K_e , K_{de} and K_s corresponding respectively to the error, the variation of the error and the output.

B. Obtained results

During the appearance of a micro-interruption, between the electric grid and the wind turbine, the crow-bar is activated in order to absorb the energy provided by the DFIG. The system becomes similar to a standalone wind turbine using a DFIG. When the micro-interruption disappears and the system is connected again to the electric grid a transient mode appears. This is due to the voltage difference explained in the section IV. This phenomenon is translated by peaks in power, current and voltage of the DFIG. The use of the fuzzy logic controller for the rotor current shows a clear superiority in front of PI regulators. The results obtained show how the fuzzy logic regulators reduce well the transitory mode in amplitude and time of re-establishment of the system.




Figure 16. 300ms Micro-interruption at the moment $t=4.2s$
 Fuzzy logic controller (blue) PI controller (Red)
 a- Grid voltage, b- Generator speed, c- Active power, d- reactive power,
 e- DC link voltage, f- Rotor active power

Finally it is clear that the suggested structure permits to wind turbine the toleration of micro-interruptions. So, it reduces wind turbine disconnections. The regulation of the rotor currents by using fuzzy logic controller improved the performance of the system during the appearance of the micro-interruptions fault.

7. Conclusion

To reach the maximum wind power extraction, wind turbine has to reduce their disconnection. For this reason grid operators impose, by their grid connection requirements, to wind turbine producer to support some grid disturbance. Doubly fed induction generators are more sensible to the grid disturbance compared to other kind of generator.

This paper has proposed a scheme allowing, a wind turbine based on DFIG, to avoid disconnection when micro-interruption appears. This involves installing a crow bar between the wind and the network.

In safe mode the crow bar is disconnected. At the onset of a micro-interruption, the crow-bar connects to GADA in order to absorb the power delivered by the generator. At the fault disappears, the crow-bar disconnects and new DFIG is connected to the network. A control strategy of the UPFC, using PI regulator, has been presented.

Finally a fuzzy logic controller was illustrated. Such controller performs well in transient mode of the DFIG. Especially for micro-interruption they reduce peak and duration of transient mode.

References

- [1] K. Jemli, M. A. Dami, M. Jemli, M. Gossa, M. Boussak, "Control of a Doubly Fed Induction Generator under voltage dips using fuzzy logic controllers", *International Review of Automatic Control*, Vol. 2, No. 6, November 2008, pp. 548-565.
- [2] T. Sun, Z. Chen, F. Blaabjerg, "Voltage Recovery of Grid-Connected Wind Turbines with DFIG After a Short-circuit Fault", *35th Annual IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference Aachen, Germany*, 2004.
- [3] R. Datta and V. T. Ranganathan, "variable-speed wind power generation using doubly fed wound rotor induction machine – a comparison with alternative schemes", *IEEE Transaction on power electronics* vol. 17 No. 3, September 2002.
- [4] S. Bhowmik, J. H.R. Enslin and R. Spée, "performance optimization for doubly fed wind power generation system", *IEEE* vol. 35 NO 4, July/August 1999.
- [5] S. D. Rubira and M. D. Mc Culloch, "Control method comparison of doubly fed wind generators connected to the grid by asymmetric transmission lines", *IEEE Transaction on power electronics* vol.36 NO 4, July/August 2000.
- [6] Martinez De Alegria, J. L. Villate, J. Andreu, I. Gabiola, P. Ibañez ; "Grid connection of doubly fed induction generator wind turbines: A survey", *European Wind Energy Conference (EWEC'04), Londres (Reino Unido)*, 2004 .
- [7] Roberto Cárdenas, Rubén Peña, Jon Clare, Greg Asher, and José Proboste, "MRAS Observers for Sensorless Control of Doubly-Fed Induction Generators", *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics*, Vol. 23, No. 3, MAY 2008, pp. 1075-1084.
- [8] R. Pena, R. Cardenas, D. Sbarbaro and R. Blasco-Giménez, "Variable speed grid connected induction generator for wind energy system", *EPE'99 – Lausanne*.
- [9] Wei Qiao, Wei Zhou, José M. Aller, and Ronald G. Harley, "Wind Speed Estimation Based Sensorless Output Maximization Control for a Wind Turbine Driving a DFIG", *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics*, Vol. 23, No. 3, May 2008, pp. 1156-1169.
- [10] Clemens Jauch, « Stability and Control of Wind Farms in Power Systems » Ph.D from the AALBORG UNIVERSITY, *Risø National Laboratory, Denmark* 2006.
- [11] H. Akagi and H. Sato, "Control and performance of a doubly-fed induction machine intended for flywheel energy storage system", *IEEE Transaction on power electronics*, vol.17 NO 1, January 2002.
- [12] Ion Boldea and Syed Nasar, "The Induction Machine Handbook" by *CRC Press LLC* 2002
- [13] Hofmann W. and Okafor F., "Doubly-Fed Full-Controlled Induction Wind Generator for optimal power utilization", *Conference proceeding PEDS'2001*.
- [14] J. L. Rodriguez-Amenedo, S. Arnalte and J. C. Burgos, "Automatic Generation control of a wind farm with variable speed wind turbines", *IEEE Transactions on energy conversion*, vol.17 NO 2, June 2002.
- [15] P. K. S. Khan, J. K. Chatterjee, "Three-Phase Induction Generators, a Discussion on Performance" *EMPS*, vol. 27, no. 8, 1999.
- [16] L.H Hansen, F.Blaabjerg, H.C.Christensen, U.lindhart, "Generator and Power Electronics Technology for wind Turbines." *Proc. of IECON'2001*, pp. 200-205.
- [17] Giuseppe saccomando, Jan Svensson, Ambra Sannino; "Improving voltage disturbance rejection for variable speed wind turbines", *IEEE Transaction Energy Conversion*, vol 17, No, 3 septembre2002, pp. 422-428.



M. Ali Dami was born in Sfax, Tunisia, on November 25, 1963. He received the Notional engineering Degree from the Notional Engineering School of Tunis (ENIT), Tunisia in 1987. He received the DEA degrees from the Institut National Polytechnique de Toulouse (INPT), France, in 1988 and the PHD in 1993 from The University of Bourgogne (France). All in electrical engineering. Currently, he is Assistant Professor at the Ecole Supérieure des Sciences et Techniques de Tunis (ESSTT), Tunisia. He has authored more than 30 papers published in international conference proceedings and technical journals in the area as well as many patents. His research is in the areas of electrical machines and energy conversion. **Email:** medali.dami@esstt.rnu.tn



K. Jemli was born in Nasr'Allah, Kairouan, Tunisia, on February 16, 1973. He received the Notional engineering Degree from the Notional Engineering School of Sfax (ENIS), Tunisia in 1997. He received the DEA degrees and the CESS (certificate high specialized electrical study) from the Ecole Supérieure des Sciences et Techniques de Tunis (ESSTT), Tunisia, in 2001 and 2003, respectively; He received the PHD degree from the ESSTT, in 2009. All in electrical engineering. From 2003 to 2005, he was an Assistant at the Institut Supérieur des Etudes Technologiques (ISET) de Zaghuan, Tunisia. Since 2005, he was an Assistant Professor at the Ecole Supérieure des Sciences et Techniques de Tunis (ESSTT), Tunisia. He has authored more than 10 papers published in international conference proceedings and technical journals in the area as well as many patents. His research is in the areas of electrical machines diagnosis, control and wind energy conversion. **Email:** kamel.jemli@isetzg.rnu.tn



M. Jemli was born in Nasr'Allah, Kairouan, Tunisia, on November 02, 1960. He received the B.S. and DEA degrees from the Ecole Supérieure des Sciences et Techniques de Tunis (ESSTT), Tunisia, in 1985 and 1993, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree from the Ecole Nationale d'Ingenieurs de Tunis (ENIT), in 2000, all in electrical engineering. From 1998 to 2001, he was an aggregate teacher at the Institut Supérieur des Etudes Technologiques (ISET) de Radès. Since 2001, he was an Assistant Professor at the Ecole Supérieure des Sciences et Techniques de Tunis (ESSTT), Tunisia. He has authored more than 40 papers published in international conference proceedings and technical journals in the area as well as many patents. His research is in the areas of electrical machines, sensorless vector control of ac motor drives, and advanced digital motion control. **Email:** jemli-m@voila.fr



M. Gossa was born in Kairouan, Tunisia, on Mars 02, 1957. He received the B.S. and DEA degrees from the Ecole Normale Supérieure de l'Enseignement Technique de Tunis (ENSET), in 1981 and 1982, respectively, the Ph.D. degree from the INSA of Toulouse, France, in 1984, and the "Habilitation à Diriger des Recherches" (HDR) degree from the Ecole Nationale d'Ingénieurs de Tunis (ENIT), in 2004, all in electrical engineering. Currently, he is a professor academic at the ESSTT, director of the Institut Supérieur des Etudes Technologiques (ISET) de Radès and director of the unité de recherche en commande, surveillance et sûreté de fonctionnement des systèmes (C3S). He has authored more than 60 papers published in international conference proceedings and technical journals in the area as well as many patents. His research is in the areas of electrical machines, sensorless vector control ac motor drives, and diagnostics.