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Abstract: Power-system stability improvement by shunt FACTS based damping controllers are thoroughly investigated in this paper. The present study considered both local and remote signals with associated time delays. The design problem of the proposed controller is formulated as an optimization problem, and differential evolution (DE) algorithm is employed to search for the optimal controller parameters. The performances of the proposed controllers are evaluated under different disturbances for both single-machine infinite bus power system and multi-machine power system. The performance of the proposed controllers with variations in the signal transmission delays has also been investigated. Simulation results are presented under various operating conditions and disturbances to show the effectiveness and robustness of the proposed approach.
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1. Introduction

Recent development of power electronics introduces the use of flexible ac transmission systems (FACTS) controllers in power systems [1]. Subsequently, within the FACTS initiative, it has been demonstrated that variable shunt compensation is highly effective in both controlling power flow in the lines and in improving stability [2, 3]. Low frequency oscillations are observed when large power systems are interconnected by relatively weak tie lines. These oscillations may sustain and grow to cause system separation if no adequate damping is available [4]. With the advent of Flexible AC Transmission System (FACTS) technology, shunt FACTS devices play an important role in controlling the reactive power flow in the power network and hence the system voltage fluctuations and stability [1,5-7] Shunt Compensator (SVC and STATCOM) is member of FACTS family that is connected in shunt with the system [8, 9]. Even though the primary purpose of SVC/STATCOM is to support bus voltage by injecting (or absorbing) reactive power, it is also capable of improving the power system stability [10]. When a Shunt compensator is present in a power system to support the bus voltage, a supplementary damping controller could be designed to modulate the SVC bus voltage in order to improve damping of system oscillations [11, 12]. Artificial intelligence-based approaches have been proposed recently to design a FACTS-based supplementary damping controller. These approaches include particle swarm optimization [13, 14], genetic algorithm [15], differential evolution [16], multi-objective evolutionary algorithm [18] etc. In the design of an efficient and effective damping controller, selection of the appropriate input signal is a primary issue. Input signal must give correct control actions when a disturbance occurs in the power system. Most of the available literatures on damping controller design are based on either local signal or remote signal. Also the issues related to potential time delays due to sensor time constant and signal transmission delays are hardly addressed in the literature. Despite significant strides in the development of advanced control schemes over the
past two decades, the conventional lead-lag structure controller remains the controllers of choice in many industrial applications. The conventional lead-lag controller structure remains an engineer’s preferred choice because of its structural simplicity, reliability, and the favorable ratio between performance and cost. Beyond these benefits, it also offers simplified dynamic modeling, lower user-skill requirements, and minimal development effort, which are issues of substantial importance to engineering practice. In view of the above, a lead-lag structure controller has been considered in the present study to modulate the SVC/STATCOM reference voltage. A number of conventional techniques have been reported in the literature pertaining to design problems of lead-lag structure controller, namely the eigen value assignment, mathematical programming, gradient procedure for optimization, and also the modern control theory. Unfortunately, the conventional techniques are time consuming as they are iterative and require heavy computation burden and slow convergence. In addition, the search process is susceptible to be trapped in local minima, and the solution obtained may not be optimal. The evolutionary methods constitute an approach to search for the optimum solutions via some form of directed random search process. A relevant characteristic of the evolutionary methods is that they search for solutions without previous problem knowledge. Differential evolution (DE) is a branch of evolutionary algorithms developed by Rainer Stron and Kenneth Price in 1995 for optimization problems [18]. It is a population-based direct search algorithm for global optimization capable of handling non-differentiable, non-linear and multi-modal objective functions, with few, easily chosen, control parameters. It has demonstrated its usefulness and robustness in a variety of applications such as, Neural network learning, Filter design and the optimization of aerodynamics shapes. DE differs from other evolutionary algorithms (EA) in the mutation and recombination phases. DE uses weighted differences between solution vectors to change the population whereas in other stochastic techniques such as genetic algorithm (GA) and expert systems (ES), perturbation occurs in accordance with a random quantity. DE employs a greedy selection process with inherent elitist features. Also it has a minimum number of EA control parameters, which can be tuned effectively [16]. In recent years, the fast development of communication technology, low price communication devices and various communication media makes it possible to provide the control center with the real time signals from remote areas. However, the use of centralized controller entails inputs that may arrive after a certain time delay. Time delays can make the control system have less damping features. In order to satisfy specifications for wide-area control systems, the design of a controller should take into account this time delay in order to provide a controller that is robust, not only for the range of operating conditions desired, but also for the uncertainty in delay. Recently there is a growing interest in designing the controllers in the presence of uncertain time delays [21-23]. In view of the above, this paper investigates the design of a SVC/STATCOM-based damping controller considering the potential time delays. Line active power as local signal and speed deviation as remote signal are considered as candidate input signals for the proposed SVC/STATCOM based damping controller. For controller design, differential evolution algorithm is employed to tune controller parameters. To show the robustness of the proposed design approach, simulation results are presented under various disturbance and faults for both single-machine infinite-bus and multi-machine power system. Also, a comparison has been made between remote and local signal and results are presented and analyzed.

2. System Model

A. Single Machine infinite-bus Power System with SVC and STATCOM

To design and optimize the Shunt FACTS based damping controllers, a single-machine infinite-bus system with SVC/STATCOM, shown in Figure 1, is considered at the first instance. The system comprises a synchronous generator connected to an infinite-bus through a step-up transformer and a SVC/STATCOM followed by a double circuit transmission line. The generator is equipped with hydraulic turbine & governor (HTG) and excitation system. The HTG represents a nonlinear hydraulic turbine model, a PID governor system, and a
servomotor. The excitation system consists of a voltage regulator and DC exciter, without the exciter's saturation function [18]. In Figure 1, $Trf$ represents the transformer; $V_T$ and $V_B$ are the generator terminal and infinite-bus voltages respectively. All the relevant parameters are given in Appendix. SVC is basically a shunt connected Static Var Generator whose output is adjusted to exchange capacitive or inductive current so as to maintain or control specific power system variables. For the case of STATCOM, It is basically a synchronous voltage source generating controllable AC behind a transformer leakage reactance. The voltage source converter is connected to an energy storage unit, usually a DC capacitor. The voltage difference across the reactance produces the reactive power exchange between the STATCOM and the power system.

![Figure 1. Single-machine infinite-bus power system with SVC/STATCOM](image)

### 3. The Proposed Approach

#### A. Structure of SVC/STATCOM based Damping Controllers

The commonly used lead–lag structure shown in Figure 2 is chosen in this study as a SVC/STATCOM based damping controllers. The lead-lag structure is preferred by the power system utilities because of the ease of on-line tuning and also lack of assurance of the stability by some adaptive or variable structure techniques. The structure consists of a delay block, a gain block with gain $K_s$, a signal washout block and two-stage phase compensation block. The time delay introduced due to delay block depends on the type of input signal. For local input signals only the sensor time constants is considered and for remote signals both sensor time constant and the signal transmission delays are included. The signal washout block serves as a high-pass filter, with the time constant $T_W$, high enough to allow signals associated with oscillations in input signal to pass unchanged. From the viewpoint of the washout function, the value of $T_W$ is not critical and may be in the range of 1 to 20 seconds [4]. The phase compensation blocks (time constants $T_{1S}$, $T_{2S}$ and $T_{3S}$, $T_{4S}$) provide the appropriate phase-lead characteristics to compensate for the phase lag between input and the output signals. In Figure 2, $V_{ref}$ represents the reference voltage as desired by the steady operation of the system. The steady state loop acts quite slowly in practice and hence, in the present study $V_{ref}$ is assumed to be constant during the disturbance period. The desired value of reference voltage is obtained according to the change in the SVC/STATCOM reference $\Delta V_{SVC/STATCOM}$ which is added to $V_{ref}$ to get the desired voltage reference $V_{SVC/STATCOM, ref}$.
B. Problem formulation

In the lead-lag structured controllers, the washout time constants $T_W$ is usually pre-specified [1, 12-16]. A washout time constant $T_W = 10s$ is used in the present study. The controller gain $K_S$ and the time constants $T_{1S}, T_{2S}, T_{3S}$ and $T_{4S}$ are to be determined. During steady state conditions $\Delta V_{SVC/STATCOM}$ and $V_{ref}$ are constant. During dynamic conditions the reference voltage $V_{SVC/STATCOM\_ref}$ is modulated to damp system oscillations. The effective reference voltage $V_{SVC/STATCOM\_ref}$ in dynamic conditions is given by:

$$ V_{SVC/ STATCOM\_ref} = V_{ref} + \Delta V_{SVC/STATCOM} $$

In the design of a robust damping controller, selection of the appropriate input signal is a main issue. Input signal must give correct control actions when a disturbance occurs in the power system. Both local and remote signals can be used as control. To avoid additional costs associated with communication and to improve reliability, input signal should preferably be locally measurable. However, local control signals, although easy to get, may not contain the desired oscillation modes. So, compared to wide-area signals, they are not as highly controllable and observable. Owing to the recent advances in optical fiber communication and global positioning systems, the wide-area measurement system can realize phasor measurement synchronously and deliver it to the control center even in real time, which makes the wide-area signal a good alternative for control input. In a wide-area monitoring system, global positioning system synchronized time-stamped data are used. In today’s technology, dedicated communication channels should not have more than 50-ms delay for the transmission of measured signals even in the worst scenarios [19]. For local input signals, line active power, line reactive power, line current magnitude and bus voltage magnitudes are all candidates to be considered in the selection of input signals for the FACTS power oscillation damping controller [20]. Among these possible local input signals, active power and current are the most commonly employed in the literature. Similarly, generator rotor angle and speed deviation can be used as remote signals. However rotor speed seems to be a better alternative as input signal for FACTS based controller [21]. In view of the above, both line active power and speed deviations are considered and compared as candidate input signals for the SVC/STATCOM based controller. For local signals a sensor time constant of 15 ms is considered. For remote signals a signal transmission delay of 50 ms is considered along with the sensor time constant of 15 ms. In the present study, an integral time absolute error of the speed deviations is taken as the objective function $J$ expressed as:

$$ J = \int_{t=0}^{t=\tau_{sim}} |\Delta \omega| \cdot t \cdot dt $$

Where, $\Delta \omega$ is the speed deviation in and $t_{sim}$ is the time range of the simulation.

For objective function calculation, the time-domain simulation of the power system model is carried out for the simulation period. It is aimed to minimize this objective function in order to improve the system response in terms of the settling time and overshoots. The problem
constraints are the SVC/STATCOM controller parameter bounds. Therefore, the design problem can be formulated as the following optimization problem.

Minimize $J$ 
Subject to

\[ K_S^{\min} \leq K_S \leq K_S^{\max} \]
\[ T_{1S}^{\min} \leq T_{1S} \leq T_{1S}^{\max} \]
\[ T_{2S}^{\min} \leq T_{2S} \leq T_{2S}^{\max} \]
\[ T_{3S}^{\min} \leq T_{3S} \leq T_{3S}^{\max} \]
\[ T_{4S}^{\min} \leq T_{4S} \leq T_{4S}^{\max} \]  

(3)

(4)

4. Differential Evolution

Differential Evolution (DE) algorithm is a stochastic, population-based optimization algorithm recently introduced [19]. DE works with two populations; old generation and new generation of the same population. The size of the population is adjusted by the parameter $N_P$. The population consists of real valued vectors with dimension $D$ that equals the number of design parameters/control variables. The population is randomly initialized within the initial parameter bounds. The optimization process is conducted by means of three main operations: mutation, crossover and selection. In each generation, individuals of the current population become target vectors. For each target vector, the mutation operation produces a mutant vector, by adding the weighted difference between two randomly chosen vectors to a third vector. The crossover operation generates a new vector, called trial vector, by mixing the parameters of the mutant vector with those of the target vector. If the trial vector obtains a better fitness value than the target vector, then the trial vector replaces the target vector in the next generation. The evolutionary operators are described below [16];

A. Initialization

For each parameter $j$ with lower bound $X_j^L$ and upper bound $X_j^U$, initial parameter values are usually randomly selected uniformly in the interval $[X_j^L, X_j^U]$.

B. Mutation

For a given parameter vector $X_{i,G}$, three vectors $(X_{i1,G}, X_{r2,G}, X_{r3,G})$ are randomly selected such that the indices $i, r1, r2$ and $r3$ are distinct. A donor vector $V_{i,G+1}$ is created by adding the weighted difference between two randomly chosen vectors to a third vector as:

\[ V_{i,G+1} = X_{r1,G} + F.(X_{r2,G} - X_{r3,G}) \]  

(5)

Where $F$ is a constant from $(0, 2)$

C. Crossover

Three parents are selected for crossover and the child is a perturbation of one of them. The trial vector $U_{i,G+1}$ is developed from the elements of the target vector $(X_{i,G})$ and the elements of the donor vector $(X_{i,G})$. Elements of the donor vector enter the trial vector with probability $CR$ as:
\[ U_{j,i,G+1} = \begin{cases} V_{j,i,G+1} & \text{if } \text{rand}_j \leq CR \text{ or } j = I_{\text{rand}} \\ X_{j,i,G+1} & \text{if } \text{rand}_j > CR \text{ or } j \neq I_{\text{rand}} \end{cases} \] (6)

With \( \text{rand}_j \sim U(0,1) \), \( I_{\text{rand}} \) is a random integer from \((1,2,\ldots,D)\) where \( D \) is the solution’s dimension i.e. number of control variables. \( I_{\text{rand}} \) ensures that \( V_{i,G+1} \neq X_{i,G} \).

D. Selection

The target vector \( X_{i,G} \) is compared with the trial vector \( V_{i,G+1} \) and the one with the better fitness value is admitted to the next generation. The selection operation in DE can be represented by the following equation:

\[ X_{i,G+1} = \begin{cases} U_{i,G+1} & \text{if } f(U_{i,G+1}) < f(X_{i,G}) \\ X_{i,G} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \] (7)

where \( i \in [1, N_p] \).

Figure 3 shows the vector addition and subtraction necessary to generate a new candidate solution.

The flow chart of the DE algorithm employed in the present study is given in Figure 4.
5. Results and Discussions
The optimization of the proposed SVC/STATCOM based damping controller parameters is carried out by minimizing the fitness given in Eq. (3) employing DE. The model of the system under study has been developed in MATLAB/SIMULINK environment and DE programme has been written in .mfile. For objective function calculation, the developed model is simulated in a separate programme (by another .m file using initial population/controller parameters) considering a disturbance. Form the SIMULINK model the objective function value is evaluated and moved to workspace. The process is repeated for each individual in the population The objective function is evaluated for each individual by simulating the example power system, considering a severe disturbance. For objective function calculation, a 3-phase short-circuit fault in one of the parallel transmission lines is considered. Simulations were conducted on a Pentium 4, 3 GHz, 1 GB RAM computer, in the MATLAB 7.8.0 environment. The optimization was repeated 20 times and the best final solution among the 20 runs is chosen as proposed controller parameters. The best final solutions obtained in the 20 runs are given in Table 1.
Table 1. SVC and STATCOM based controller parameters for SMIB power system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal/Parameters</th>
<th>SVC/STATCOM Controller</th>
<th>Ks</th>
<th>T1S</th>
<th>T2S</th>
<th>T3S</th>
<th>T4S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remote</td>
<td></td>
<td>170.2577</td>
<td>1.9682</td>
<td>1.3112</td>
<td>0.0481</td>
<td>0.6594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td></td>
<td>58.4842</td>
<td>1.0135</td>
<td>1.2574</td>
<td>1.9744</td>
<td>0.2341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.5691</td>
<td>1.5243</td>
<td>0.8222</td>
<td>2.2033</td>
<td>0.3422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td></td>
<td>197.7727</td>
<td>2.3244</td>
<td>1.0297</td>
<td>0.0109</td>
<td>1.3568</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Loading conditions considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loading conditions</th>
<th>Pe in per unit (pu)</th>
<th>δ₀ in Degree for SVC</th>
<th>δ₀ in Degree for STATCOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>51.5₁°</td>
<td>51.5₁°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>29.1₅°</td>
<td>29.1₅°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60.7₃°</td>
<td>60.7₃°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Simulation results

During normal operating condition there is complete balance between input mechanical power and output electrical power and this is true for all operating points. During disturbance, the balance is disturbed and the difference power enters into/drawn from the rotor. Hence the rotor speed deviation and subsequently all other parameters (power, current, voltage etc.) change. As the input to the SVC/STATCOM controller is the speed deviation/electrical power, the SVC/STATCOM reference voltage is suitable modulated and the power balanced is maintained at the earliest time period irrespective of the operating point. So, with the change in operating point also the SVC/STATCOM controller parameters remain fixed. To assess the effectiveness and robustness of the proposed controller, three different operating conditions as given in Table II are considered. At the first instance the remote speed deviation signal is considered as the input signal to the proposed SVC/STATCOM-based controller. The following cases are considered

Case-1: Nominal loading, 3-Phase fault

The behavior of the proposed controller is verified at nominal loading condition under severe disturbance condition. A 5 cycle, 3-phase self clearing fault is applied at the middle of one transmission line connecting bus 2 and bus 3, at \( t = 1.0 \) s. The system response under this severe disturbance is shown in Figs. 5-8 where, the response with Local signal with SVC is shown with dotted line, the response with proposed approach Remote signal with time delay in SVC is shown with dash line, Local signal with STATCOM shown with dash-dotted line and time delay with Remote signal in STATCOM are considered with solid line respectively. For comparison, It can be seen from Figs. 5-8 that when potential time delay are considered the proposed approach remote signal is better than local signal.
Figure 5. Speed deviation response for 5 cycle 3-ph fault in transmission line with nominal loading

Figure 6. Rotor angle response for 5 cycle 3-ph fault in transmission line with nominal loading

Figure 7. Tie-line power flow response for 5 cycle 3-ph fault in transmission line with nominal loading.
Case-2: Light loading, 3-Phase fault cleared by line outage

To test the robustness of the controller to the operating condition and type of disturbance, the generator loading is changed to light loading condition as given in Table II. A 5 cycle 3-phase fault is assumed in one of the parallel transmission line near bus 2 at \( t=1.0 \text{ s} \). The fault is cleared by tripping the faulted line and the lines are reclosed after 5 cycles. The system response under this contingency is shown in Figure 9-11 which clearly depicts the robustness of the proposed controller for changes in operating condition and fault location. It can be seen that the proposed design approach when potential time delays is better than controller with local signal.

![Figure 8](image1.png)

Figure 8. Vref response for 5 cycle 3-ph fault in transmission line with nominal loading

![Figure 9](image2.png)

Figure 9. Speed deviation response for 5 cycle 3-ph fault in transmission line with Light loading
A Comparative Study Between Local and Remote Signal Using Shunt Facts

**Figure 10.** Tie-line power flow response for 5 cycle 3-ph fault in transmission line with Light loading

**Figure 11.** Vref response for 5 cycle 3-ph fault in transmission line with light loading

**Case-3: Heavy loading, Small disturbance**

The robustness of the proposed controller is also verified at heavy loading condition under small disturbance by disconnecting the load near bus 1 at $t = 1.0$ s for 5 cycle with generator loading being changed to heavy loading condition. The system response under this contingency is shown in Figure 12 - 13 from which it is clear that the remote signal stability occur quicker than local signal under this disturbance and further stability is maintained STATCOM remote signal better than SVC remote signal.
Figure 12. Speed deviation responses for 5 cycle 3-ph fault in transmission line with Heavy loading

Figure 13. Tie-line power flow response for 5 cycle 3-ph fault in transmission line with Heavy loading.

Figure 14. Speed deviation response showing for case-4.
Case-4: Effect of Signal Transmission delay

To study the effect of variation in signal transmission delay on the performance of controller, the transmission delay is varied and the response is shown in Figure 14. In this case, nominal loading condition with 5 cycle, 3-phase, self clearing fault is assumed at the middle of one transmission line for the analysis purpose. It is evident from Figure 14 that the performances of the proposed controllers are hardly affected by the signal transmission delays.

B. Extension to multi-machine power system with SVC/STATCOM

The proposed approach of designing and optimizing the parameters of a SVC/STATCOM based damping controller is further extended to a multi-machine power system shown in Figure 15. It is similar to the power system used in references [24, 25, 26]. The system consists of three generators divided into two subsystems and are connected via an intertie. Following a disturbance, the two subsystems swing against each other resulting in instability. To improve the stability the line is sectionalized and a SVC/STATCOM is assumed on the mid-point of the tie line. The relevant data for the system are given in Appendix. For remote input signal speed deviation of generator G1 and G2 is chosen as the control input of SVC/STATCOM based damping controller and for local signal real power flow at the nearest bus (bus5) is selected. The objective functions $J$ is defined as:

$$J = \int_{t=0}^{t_{sim}} \left( \sum |\Delta \omega_I| + \sum |\Delta \omega_L| \right) \cdot t \cdot dt \tag{10}$$

Where $\Delta \omega_I$ and $\Delta \omega_L$ are the speed deviations of inter-area and local modes of oscillations respectively and $t_{sim}$ is the time range of the simulation. The same approach as explained for SMIB case is followed to optimize the SVC/STATCOM-based damping controller parameters for three-machine case (i.e. for remote signal a delay of 50 ms has been considered and for local signal the delay is neglected). The best among the 20 runs for both the input signals are shown in Table 3.

![Figure 15. Three machine power system with SVC/STATCOM](image-url)
A self clearing 3-phase fault is applied near bus 1 at \( t = 1 \) s. The fault is cleared after 5 cycle and the original system is restored after the fault clearance. Figs. 16-18 show the variations of the inter-area and local mode of oscillation against time respectively for both the control inputs. In these Figs. the response with Local signal with SVC is shown with dotted line, the response with proposed approach Remote signal with time delay in SVC is shown with dash line, Local signal with STATCOM shown with dash-dotted line and time delay with Remote signal in STATCOM are considered with solid line respectively. It is clear from Figure 16 that inter-area modes of oscillations of the proposed SVC/STATCOM based damping controller are significantly improves the power system stability with both local and remote signals. However, remote signal seems to be a better choice compared to the local signal as the power system oscillations are quickly damped out with remote signal.

To show the robustness of the proposed approach, another disturbance is considered. The transmission line between bus 5 and bus 1 is tripped at \( t=1.0 \) sec and reclosed after 5 cycles. The system response is shown in Figs. 19-20 from which it is clear that remote signal with time delay is a better choice than local signal for stability improvement. For completeness, the load at bus 1 is disconnected for 100 ms and the system response is shown in Figs. 21-22. It is clear from these Figs. that the proposed controllers are robust and damps power system oscillations even under small disturbance conditions. Further, the performance with remote speed deviation signal is better than that with local signal.

### Table 3. SVC/STATCOM based controller parameters for multi-machine power system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal/Parameters</th>
<th>SVC/STATCOM Controller</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( K_s )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>147.7283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>63.6045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>119.4502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>156.1155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 16. Inter-area mode of oscillation for 5 cycle 3-phase fault disturbance.
Figure 17. Local mode of oscillation for self clearing three phase fault disturbance

Figure 18. Tie line power flow for self clearing three phase fault disturbance

Figure 19. Inter-area mode of oscillation for line outage disturbance
Figure 20. Local mode of oscillation for line outage disturbance

Figure 21. Inter-area mode of oscillation for small disturbance

Figure 22. Local mode of oscillation for small disturbance
6. Conclusion

In this study, power system stability improvement by a static var compensator (SVC) and static synchronous compensator based damping controller is thoroughly investigated. For the controller design, potential time delays due to sensor time constant and signal transmission delays are considered. The design problem is formulated as an optimization problem, and differential evolution (DE) is employed to search for the optimal controller parameters. The performance of the proposed controller is evaluated under different disturbances for both single-machine infinite bus power system and multi-machine power system using both local and remote signals. Results show that when time delays are considered the proposed approach is better and provides improved performance under various loading conditions and disturbances. It is also observed that from power system stability improvement point of view remote signal is a better choice than the local signal. Additionally, it is observed that the performance of the designed SVC/STATCOM-based controller with remote signal is hardly affected by the variations in the signal transmission delay.

APPENDIX

System data: All data are in pu unless specified otherwise. The variables are as defined in [18].

(i) Single-machine infinite-bus power system

Generator:

\[ S_B = 2100 \text{ MVA}, \quad H = 3.7 \text{ s}, \quad V_B = 13.8 \text{ kV}, \quad f = 60 \text{ Hz}, \quad R_s = 2.8544 \times 10^{-3}, \quad X_d = 1.305, \quad X_d' = 0.296, \]
\[ X_d'' = 0.252, \quad X_q = 0.474, \quad X_q' = 0.243, \quad X_q'' = 0.18, \quad T_d = 1.01 \text{ s}, \quad T_d' = 0.053 \text{ s}, \quad T_{qo} = 0.1 \text{ s}, \]

Load at Bus 2: 250 MW

Transformer: 2100 MVA, 13.8/500 kV, 60 Hz, \[ R_1 = R_2 = 0.002, \quad L_1 = 0, \quad L_2 = 0.12, \quad D_1/Y_g \text{ connection,} \quad R_m = 500, \quad L_m = 500 \]

Transmission line: 3-Ph, 60 Hz, Length = 300 km each, \[ R_1 = 0.02546 \text{ } \Omega/\text{km}, \quad R_0 = 0.3864 \text{ } \Omega/\text{km}, \quad L_1 = 0.9337 \times 10^{-3} \text{ H/km}, \quad L_0 = 4.1264 \times 10^{-3} \text{ H/km}, \]

Hydraulic turbine and governor: \[ K_a = 3.33, \quad T_a = 0.07, \quad G_{min} = 0.01, \quad G_{max} = 0.97518, \quad V_{gmin} = -0.1 \text{ pu/s}, \quad V_{gmax} = 0.1 \text{ pu/s}, \quad R_p = 0.05, \quad K_p = 1.163, \quad K_i = 0.105, \quad K_d = 0, \quad T_d = 0.01 \text{ s}, \quad \beta = 0, \quad T_w = 2.67 \text{ s} \]

Excitation system: \[ T_L = 0.02 \text{ s}, \quad T_s = 0.001 \text{ s}, \quad K_a = 200, \quad T_a = 0.001 \text{ s}, \quad K_e = 1, \quad T_e = 0, \quad K_t = 0, \quad K_f = 0.001, \quad T_f = 0.1 \text{ s}, \quad E_{min} = 0, \quad E_{max} = 7, \quad K_p = 0 \]

STATCOM parameters: 500 KV, ±100 MVAR, \[ R = 0.071, \quad L = 0.22, \quad Vdc = 40 \text{ KV}, \quad Cdc = 375 \pm \mu \text{ F}, V_{ref} = 1.0, \quad K_p = 50, \quad K_i = 1000 \]

Static Var Compensator: 500KV, ±200 MVAR, Droop = 0.03

(i) Multi-machine power system

Generators: \[ S_{B1} = 4200 \text{ MVA}, \quad S_{B2} = S_{B3} = 2100 \text{ MVA}, \quad V_B = 13.8 \text{ kV}, \quad f = 60 \text{ Hz}, \quad X_d = 1.305, \quad X_d' = 0.296, \quad X_d'' = 0.252, \quad X_q = 0.474, \quad X_q' = 0.243, \quad X_q'' = 0.18, \quad T_d = 1.01 \text{ s}, \quad T_d' = 0.053 \text{ s}, \quad T_{qo} = 0.1 \text{ s}, \quad R_s = 2.8544 \times 10^{-3}, \quad H = 3.7 \text{ s}, \quad p = 32 \]

Transformers: \[ S_{B1} = 4200 \text{ MVA}, \quad S_{B2} = S_{B3} = 2100 \text{ MVA}, \quad D_1/Y_g \text{ connection,} \quad V_1 = 13.8 \text{ kV}, \quad V_2 = 500 \text{ kV}, \quad R_1 = R_2 = 0.002, \quad L_1 = 0, \quad L_2 = 0.12, \quad R_m = 500, \quad L_m = 500 \]

Transmission lines: 3-Ph, \[ R_1 = 0.02546 \text{ } \Omega/\text{km}, \quad R_0 = 0.3864 \text{ } \Omega/\text{km}, \quad L_1 = 0.9337 \times 10^{-3} \text{ H/km}, \quad L_0 = 4.1264 \times 10^{-3} \text{ H/km}, \quad C_1 = 12.74 \times 10^{-9} \text{ F/km}, \quad C_0 = 7.751 \times 10^{-9} \text{ F/km}, \quad L_1 = 175 \text{ km}, \quad L_2 = 50 \text{ km}, \quad L_3 = 100 \text{ km} \]

Loads: Load 1=7500 MW+1500 MVAR, Load 2=Load 3=25 MW, Load 4=250 M
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